Application No. Application (%) Interview Summary GB787,886 HAGENAN, GERHARD Examiner API Unit Stephen J. Lecherl Jr. 1732

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr.,

(3)_____.

(2) Mr. Colton. (4)____

Date of Interview: 20 January 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an apprenent was reached, or any thore comments. Examine called for spicinion election, applicant bedard with reserve method plains. Method claims found to be allowable. Examiner requested pomission to dishets non-elected claims with traverse as well as correct more creditions with the Tide and hebitand. Changes to be made by Examiner's Amendment which was authorized by applicant's representatives.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MFEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. ON THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summar of Record of Interview requirements on reverse acid or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (NPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written substanced as to the substance of any face-to-dops, video confessiones, or belighone attended with regard to an application misted with regard for an application misted with resource with regard for an application whether or or of an apprent with the examinar way repeated at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

in every materice where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examinar, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessary for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patient or Trademark Office should be branched in reported in white. If the protocal inflandation of applicants or their attempts or agreets at the Patient and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Trademark Office will be based existatively on the written record in the Office. No afterfibre will be paid to any algobed call protone, opication, or understanding in residents on within their subapperent of odder.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself

The solution of the material and maximum collection of the make the substance of internal and incomplete through the failure to record the substance of internal and internal

which best pricedy on the question of patentiability.

Examines and complete in afferwise Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely for establishment of the procedural matters, directed solely for establishment of the procedural matters, directed solely for establishment of the procedural matters, directed and only for extra direction of the procedural matters, directed the procedural matter of the procedu

solutions of an interview a computely recorded in an Examiner's Amendment, no separate listanciers Usermany Record is required.

Separate Separate Form Institute Green as appropriate Paper No., placed in the right tend pottor of his fluid solution on the contract of the separate Form and the separate Separate Form and the separate Sep

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Senal Number)
 Name of applicant
- reame or apprican
- Name of examiner
 Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
 An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted.

accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or
 An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An inclusion whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
- attachment of a copy of amendments or cisims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tenfative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examines orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the enterwew of each case it should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless if excludes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable Rems required below concerning the substance of the mixer we

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,

2) an identification of the claims discussed.

3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.

s) an isonthication of the specific prior art of accussed, 4) an isonthication of the privilegly proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Eximitiner, 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbalm or highly detailed obscription of the arguments are not required. The identification of the arguments are sufficient if the general nature or thresh of the primicial arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file, Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe from a grunners which the or file feels were or might be previously to the examiner.)

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the individuol, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substates of the sentences instead he examiner's instead.